Lecture 16: Encrypting Long Messages

## Objective

- Earlier, we saw that the length of the secret-key in one-time pad has to be at least the length of the message being encrypted
- Our objective in this lecture is to use smaller secret-keys to encrypt longer messages (that is secure against computationally bounded adversaries)

## Recall

- Suppose  $f \colon \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}^{2n}$  is a one-way permutation (OWP)
- Then, we had see that the function  $G: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^{2n+1}$  defined by

$$G(r,x) = (r, f(x), \langle r, x \rangle)$$

is a one-bit extension PRG

• Let us represent  $f^i(x)$  as a short-hand for  $f(\cdots f(f(x))\cdots)$ .  $f^0(x)$  shall represent x.

- By iterating the construction, we observed that we can create a stream of pseudorandom bits by computing  $b_i(r,x) = \left\langle r, f^i(x) \right\rangle$  (Note that, if we already have  $f^i(x)$  stored, then we can efficiently compute  $f^{i+1}(x)$  from it)
- So, the idea is to encrypt long messages where the *i*-th bit of the message is masked with the bit  $b_i(r,x)$

## **Encrypting Long Messages**

- Without loss of generality, we assume that our objective is to encrypt a stream of bits  $(m_0, m_1, ...)$
- Gen(): Return sk =  $(r, x) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ , where  $r, x \in \{0, 1\}^n$
- Alice and Bob, respectively, shall store their state variables:  $state_A$  and  $state_B$ . Initially, we have  $state_A = state_B = x$
- $\operatorname{Enc}_{\mathsf{sk},\mathsf{state}_A}(m_i)$ :  $c_i = m_i \oplus \langle r, \mathsf{state}_A \rangle$ , and update  $\operatorname{state}_A = f(\mathsf{state}_A)$ , where  $\mathsf{sk} = (r, x)$
- $\mathsf{Dec}_{\mathsf{sk},\mathsf{state}_B}(\widetilde{c}_i) = \widetilde{m}_i = \widetilde{c}_i \oplus \langle r, \mathsf{state}_B \rangle$ , and update  $\mathsf{state}_B = f(\mathsf{state}_B)$ , where  $\mathsf{sk} = (r, x)$
- Note that the *i*-th bit is encrypted with  $b_i(r,x)$  and is also decrypted with  $b_i(r,x)$ . So, the correctness holds. This correctness guarantee holds as long as the order of the encryptions and the decryptions remain identical.
- Note that each bit  $b_i(r,x)$  is uniform and independent of all previous bits (for computationally bounded adversaries). So, the scheme is secure against all computationally bounded adversaries